#### **ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS**

#### Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

 Client ID:
 M122434
 Client:
 Alaskan Copper Works

 Date Received:
 04/24/09
 Project:
 Abrasive Saw, PO M122434

 Date Extracted:
 04/28/09
 Lab ID:
 904256-01 x100 and 904256-01 x500

Date Analyzed: 04/28/09 Data File: 904256-01 x100.049 and 904256-01 x500.053

Matrix: Soil Instrument: ICPMS1 Units: mg/kg (ppm) Operator: hr

Lower Upper Internal Standard: % Recovery: Limit: Limit: Indium 92 60 125 Holmium 103 60 125

| Concentration | Manalyte: | Concentration | Manalyte: | Manalyte | Manalyte

 Selenium
 <100</td>

 Silver
 <100</td>

 Cadmium
 <100</td>

 Barium
 <100</td>

 Lead
 <100</td>

## ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

## Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID:	Method Blank	Client:	Alaskan Copper Works
Date Received:	Not Applicable	Project:	Abrasive Saw, PO M122434
Date Extracted:	04/28/09	Lab ID:	I9-175 mb
Date Analyzed:	04/28/09	Data File:	I9-175 mb.035
Matrix:	Soil	Instrument:	ICPMS1
Units:	mg/kg (npm)	Operator:	hr

		Lower	Upper
Internal Standard:	% Recovery:	Limit:	Limit:
Germanium	99	60	125
Indium	89	60	125
Holmium	99	60	125

Analyte:	Concentration mg/kg (ppm)
Chromium	<1
Arsenic	<1
Selenium	<1
Silver	<1
Cadmium	<1
Barium	<1
Lead	<1

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS**

Date of Report: 05/01/09 Date Received: 04/24/09

Project: Abrasive Saw, PO M122434, F&BI 904256

Date Extracted: 04/28/09 Date Analyzed: 04/29/09

## RESULTS FROM THE ANALYSIS OF THE SOIL SAMPLES FOR TOTAL MERCURY USING EPA METHOD 1631E

Results Reported on a Dry Weight Basis Results Reported as mg/kg (ppm)

Sample ID		Total Mercury
Laboratory ID		
M122434 d 904256-01		<2
Method Blank		<0.2

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS**

Date of Report: 05/01/09 Date Received: 04/24/09

Project: Abrasive Saw, PO M122434, F&BI 904256

## QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF SOIL SAMPLES FOR TOTAL METALS USING EPA METHOD 200.8

Laboratory Code: 904234-02 (Duplicate)

Analyte	Reporting Units	Sample Result	Duplicate Result	Relative Percent Difference	Acceptance Criteria			
Chromium	mg/kg (ppm)	10.1	11.2	10	0-20			
Arsenic	mg/kg (ppm)	1.58	1.60	1. 1	0-20			
Selenium	mg/kg (ppm)	<1	<1	nm	0-20			
Silver	mg/kg (ppm)	<1	<1	nm	0-20			
Cadmium	mg/kg (ppm)	<1	<1	nm	0-20			
Barium	mg/kg (ppm)	25.7	27.1	5	0-20			
Lead	mg/kg (ppm)	2.65	3.29	22 a	0-20			

Laboratory Code: 904234-02 (Matrix Spike)

		Percent							
Analyte	Reporting Units	Spike Level	Sample Result	Recovery MS	Acceptance Criteria				
Chromium	mg/kg (ppm)	50	10.1	103 b	50-150	Ξ.			
Arsenic	mg/kg (ppm)	10	1.58	114	50-150				
Selenium	mg/kg (ppm)	5	<1	122	50-150				
Silver	mg/kg (ppm)	10	<1	95	50-150				
Cadmium	mg/kg (ppm)	4	<1	105	50-150				
Barium	mg/kg (ppm)	50	25.7	92 b	50-150				
Lead	mg/kg (ppm)	50	2.65	101	50-150				

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

		빗글러모얼	Percent	
		Spike	Recovery	Acceptance
Analyte	Reporting Units	Level	LCS	Criteria
Chromium	mg/kg (ppm)	50	112	70-130
Arsenic	mg/kg (ppm)	10	111	70-130
Selenium	mg/kg (ppm)	5	120	70-130
Silver	mg/kg (ppm)	10	93	70-130
Cadmium	mg/kg (ppm)	5	106	70-130
Barium	mg/kg (ppm)	50	98	70-130
Lead	mg/kg (ppm)	50	106	70-130

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS**

Date of Report: 05/01/09 Date Received: 04/24/09

Project: Abrasive Saw, PO M122434, F&BI 904256

# QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF SOIL SAMPLES FOR TOTAL MERCURY USING EPA METHOD 1631E

Laboratory Code: 904234-02 (Matrix Spike)

				Percent	Percent		
	Reporting	Spike	Sample	Recovery	Recovery	Acceptance	RPD
Analyte	Units	Level	Result	MS	MSD	Criteria	(Limit 20)
Mercury	mg/kg (ppm)	0.125	<0.2	108	111	50-150	3

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

Analyte	는 그림 경우 다양 나는 다		Percent	
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria
Mercury	mg/kg (ppm)	0.125	109	70-130

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS**

## **Data Qualifiers & Definitions**

- a The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.
- A1 More than one compound of similar molecule structure was identified with equal probability.
- b The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.
- ca The calibration results for this range fell outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.
- c The presence of the analyte indicated may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.
- d The sample was diluted. Detection limits may be raised due to dilution.
- ds The sample was diluted. Detection limits are raised due to dilution and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.
- dv Insufficient sample was available to achieve normal reporting limits and limits are raised accordingly.
- fb The analyte indicated was found in the method blank. The result should be considered an estimate.
- fc The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.
- hr The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. The variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.
- ht The sample was extracted outside of holding time. Results should be considered estimates.
- ip Recovery fell outside of normal control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.
- j The result is below normal reporting limits. The value reported is an estimate.
- J The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.
- jl The analyte result in the laboratory control sample is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- ${
  m jr}$  The rpd result in laboratory control sample associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- js The surrogate associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- lc The presence of the compound indicated is likely due to laboratory contamination.
- L The reported concentration was generated from a library search.
- nm The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.
- pc The sample was received in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- pr The sample was received with incorrect preservation. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- ve The value reported exceeded the calibration range established for the analyte. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- vo The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.
- x The pattern of peaks present is not indicative of diesel.
- y The pattern of peaks present is not indicative of motor oil.

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS**

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Charlene Morrow, M.S. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Bradley T. Benson, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 TEL: (206) 285-8282 FAX: (206) 283-5044 e-mail: fbi@isomedia.com

May 1, 2009



#### **INVOICE #09ACU0501-1**

Accounts Payable Alaskan Copper Works 628 South Hanford Seattle, WA 98134

RE: Project Abrasive Saw, PO M122434, F&BI 904256 - Results of testing requested by Gerry Thompson for material submitted on April 24, 2009.

by Method 200.8/1631E @ \$150 per sample	\$ 150.00
Rush Charges (3 day) 70% of \$150.00	105.00
Amount Due	\$ 255.00

904256 Send Report To Genz	ud A	Thomps	<u> </u>	6	W	signature)			_		=	•	41		Γ	P		VAROUN	1
Company AUSKAA Address 628 S.	Cope Hade	I so	<u> </u>	PROJECT NAME/NO. Abresine SAW N					m		)# .ZY:	34	عرا	Standard (2 Weeks)  KUSH A SAJ  Rush charges authorized by:					
City, State, ZIP Seson		- 31	134 305	REM	ARKS	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						-,		18		Disp Reta	ose ose	PLE DIS after 30 amples with ins	er i Deci II
		Ţ									ANA	LYS	ES R	EQU	JEST	ED.	_		
Sample ID	Lab ID	Date	Time	Samı	ole Type	# of containers	TPH-Diesel	TPH-Gasoline	BTEX by 8021B	VOCs by 8260	SVOCs by 8270	HFS	RULL MILS				-		Notes
m. 122434	01	4/24		Sar			·						X	٠					
					÷		***************************************												1000
*		17.1																	***
							1												
3																	ŗ		10 SE
															1		N .		
14													İ						
		th.																	
														,					- Today - Tall
ef.						2					T								
riedman & Bruya, Inc.		SIGNATUR	Œ			PRINT	NAN	Œ						MPA	NY			DATE	TIME_
012 16th Avenue West	Received by:			>	(ere	nell [	The	m	بعو				Ce	A			13	fex/os	2:19pm
eattle, WA 98119- 000 h. (206) 285-8282	Relinquished b	Inf			Mach	n ( Enl	4 (						- 4 H	3 ~			+	L	* L
ax (206) 283-5044	Received by:	<b>,</b>									_						_	5	¥

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS**

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Charlene Morrow, M.S. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Bradley T. Benson, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 TEL: (206) 285-8282 FAX: (206) 283-5044 e-mail: fbi@isomedia.com

May 1, 2009

Gerry Thompson, Project Manager Alaskan Copper Works 628 South Hanford Seattle, WA 98134

Dear Mr. Thompson:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on April 24, 2009 from the Abrasive Saw, PO M122434, F&BI 904256 project. There are 6 pages included in this report. Any samples that may remain are currently scheduled for disposal in 30 days. If you would like us to return your samples or arrange for long term storage at our offices, please contact us as soon as possible.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures ACU0501R.DOC